# LOG OF MEETING DIRECTORATE FOR ENGINEERING SCIENCES

<u>SUBJECT:</u> Recreational Off-Highway Vehicles (ROVs) – Meeting requested by the Recreational Off-Highway Vehicle Association (ROHVA) to discuss their progress in developing voluntary standard requirements that address occupant protection and vehicle stability.

DATE OF MEETING: December 15, 2010

PLACE OF MEETING: U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission, Bethesda, MD

LOG ENTRY SOURCE: Caroleene Paul, ESME 2.?.

COMMISSION ATTENDEES: See attached attendance list

NON-COMMISSION ATTENDEES: See attached attendance list

#### SUMMARY OF MEETING:

Representatives of the Recreational Off-Highway Vehicle Association (ROHVA) met with CPSC staff to discuss ROHVA's progress in developing voluntary standard requirements that address occupant protection and vehicle stability.

CPSC staff opened the meeting by setting the following ground rules:

- ROHVA requested this meeting with CPSC staff so, although the meeting was public, discussions were limited to ROHVA representatives and CPSC staff/representatives.
- The opinions or views expressed by CPSC staff were not reviewed or approved by the Commission and may not reflect the views of the Commission.
- The discussions during the meeting will be treated as comments to the ongoing rulemaking and will become part of the public record.

ROHVA representatives presented an overview of their approach to addressing occupant protection in ROVs, their position on static and dynamic vehicle testing as it applies to ROVs, and revisions they have made to labeling requirements. Presentations were made by ROHVA, Dynamic Research Inc. (DRI), and Applied Safety and Ergonomics Inc. (see attached presentation).

The following points were made regarding occupant protection:

- The voluntary standard will introduce four Zones of protection with design and/or performance requirements:
  - Zone 1 Leg/Foot area will require a 4 inch high (from the floor) barrier that must withstand a 50 lbf outward force and have no opening greater than 3 inches in diameter.
  - Zone 2 Shoulder/Hip area will require a barrier that withstands a 100 lbf outward force or the ROV shall pass a 45 degree tilt of the vehicle with a seat

- belted test dummy where the torso of the dummy does not extend more than 5 inches beyond the vehicle width.
- ➤ Zone 3 Arm/Hand area will require a barrier that withstands a 50 lbf outward force and have no opening greater than 3 inches or the ROV shall pass a 45 degree tilt of the vehicle with a seat belted test dummy where the arm/hand of the dummy does not extend more than 7 inches beyond the vehicle width.
- Zone 4 Head area will require mandatory helmet use.
- Seat Belts the standard will require a minimum 3-point restraint for all seats and mandatory restraint warning light that illuminates for at least 8 seconds.
- CPSC staff asked what tests, studies, or research were used to develop the design and performance requirements for each of the zones; and that the research be made available to staff.
- CPSC staff stated that it appears that ROHVA's requirement for mandatory helmet use will address interior head impact but not crushing of the head by the vehicle.
   Staff asked if ROHVA has determined if a helmet can withstand crushing by a typical ROV. ROHVA replied that they did not know.
- CPSC staff asked how ROHVA intended to make helmet use mandatory. ROHVA indicated that all manufacturers will prominently recommend helmet use.

The following points were made regarding static and dynamic testing of ROVs:

- In response to CPSC staff's comments on the canvass drafts of the voluntary standard, ROHVA added more rigorous tilt table requirements. The required lateral tilt angle for an ROV with 2 occupants was increased to a 30 degree angle (from 28 degrees).
- DRI reviewed the rollover resistance test (RRR) that they developed to evaluate ROV dynamic stability. The test is a constant steering wheel angle test where the steering wheel of the test vehicle is locked at a 25 ft radius circle and accelerated until 2-wheel lift occurs or 2-wheel spin/spiral-in occurs. The tests are conducted on asphalt.
- A vehicle passes the RRR if 0.6g of lateral acceleration is achieved without 2-wheel lift or the vehicle becomes speed limited. A vehicle fails the RRR if 2-wheel lift occurs below 0.6g of lateral acceleration. A vehicle must pass 8 of 10 runs (5 in clockwise and 5 in counterclockwise directions) for the vehicle to pass.
- DRI believes 0.6g is greater than the average off-highway tile/soil lateral force coefficient of off-highway surfaces.
- DRI presented test data of 6 vehicles that were tested to show that 2-wheel lift occurred for both oversteer and understeer vehicles. DRI maintains that oversteer/understeer is dependent on the test surface and that asphalt results do not equal off-highway results.
- CPSC staff asked how the 0.6g value was developed. DRI responded that it was based on measurements that were taken in the 1980s of 20 ATV riding areas. Staff asked if these riding areas were similar to terrain where actual ROV incidents have occurred, such as grassy fields. DRI responded that they would have to look at that.
- CPSC staff and DRI discussed rollover thresholds, tripped versus untripped rollovers, and the significance of understeer and oversteer characteristics of the vehicle. DRI did not agree with CPSC staff statements that oversteer is an undesirable characteristic due to lateral acceleration gain and vehicle slide that can

- lead to tripped rollovers (berms from dirt build-up or ruts or any number of other offroad terrain features).
- CPSC staff asked if all the vehicles ROHVA tested passed the RRR test. ROHVA replied that all passed. CPSC staff asked if any ROVs on the market would fail the RRR test. DRI declined to reply.
- CPSC staff asked if more test data to support ROHVA's proposals will be received when the ballot for revisions to the voluntary standard is sent to canvass members. ROHVA replied yes.

The following points were made regarding ROV labeling requirements:

- Applied Safety and Ergonomics gave a presentation on labeling requirements and development work they did to revise the labeling requirements for ROVs. Their effort included ROV accident analysis and analysis of driver behavior during focus groups where drivers completed a closed loop driving course.
- CPSC staff asked for a copy of the full report on the focus group work.

ROHVA reviewed the progress of an ROV E-course that is available online. A hands-on course is under development and estimated to be completed by the end of the summer.

ROHVA summarized that over the course of two years of meetings and exchanges with CPSC staff, ROHVA has tried to meet CPSC's challenges. ROHVA believes they have achieved this through their efforts with training, labeling, dynamic testing, and occupant protection testing.

## MEETING ATTENDANCE RECORD ROHVA / CPSC Staff – December 15, 2010

### COMMISSION ATTENDEES:

NAME	ORGANIZATION	PHONE	E-MAIL
Ian Hall	CPSC/LSM	301-424-6421 x 122	ihall@cpsc.gov
Mark Kumagai	CPSC/ESME	301-504-7532	mkumagai@cpsc.gov
Caroleene Paul	CPSC/ESME	301-504-7540	cpaul@cpsc.gov
Anthony Teems	CPSC/ESME	301-504-7635	ateems@cpsc.gov
Perry Sharpless	CPSC/LSM	301-424-6421 x 129	psharpless@cpsc.gov
Hope Nesteruk	CPSC/ESHF	301-504-7694	hnesteruk@cpsc.gov
Linda Edwards	CPSC/ES	301-504-7535	eedwards@cpsc.gov
Sarah Garland	CPSC/EPHA	301-504-7331	sgarland@cpsc.gov
Robert Franklin	CPSC/EC	301-504-7708	rfranklin@cpsc.gov
Richard McCallion	CPSC/LSM	301-424-6421 x 121	rmccallion@cpsc.gov
Marc Schoem	CPSC/Compliance	301-504-7520	mschoem@cpsc.gov

#### NON-COMMISSION ATTENDEES:

NAME	ORGANIZATION	PHONE	E-MAIL
John Zellner	Dynamic Research Inc. (DRI)	310-212-5211	jwz@dynres.com
John Lenkeit	Dynamic Research Inc. (DRI)	310-212-5211	jfl@dynres.com
Paul Vitrano	ROHVA	949-727-4211	pvitrano@rohva.org
Kathy Van Kleeck	ROHVA	703-416-0444	kvankleeck@rohva.org
Duane Taylor	ROHVA	703-416-0444	dtaylor@rohva.org
Stacy Bogart	Polaris Industries	763-542-0506	stacy.bogart@polarisind.com
Jeff Eyres	Polaris Industries	763-542-0209	jeff.eyres@polarisind.com
Brett Gass	Polaris Industries	651-408-7247	brett.gass@polarisind.com
Jan Rintamaki	Polaris Industries	763-847-8350	jan.rintamaki@polarisind.com
Paul Frantz	ASE	734-994-9400	pfrantz@appliedsafety.com
Charles Burhans	ASE	734-994-9400	cburhans@appliedsafety.com
Erika Jones	Mayer Brown LLP	202-263-3232	ejones@mayerbrown.com
Catherine Bourque	Consumer Federation of America		cbourque@consumerfed.org
Tammie Sand	General Public		
John Sand	General Public		
Jim Fisher	EZ GO Textron	706-772-5979	jafisher@textron.com

NAME	ORGANIZATION	PHONE	E-MAIL
Dan Thiemke	John Deere	920-485-5074	thiemkedanielb@johndeere.com
Brad Franklin	Yamaha Motor Corp	714-761-7842	brad_franklin@yamaha-motor.com
Brian Gabel	Yamaha Motor Corp	714-761-7798	brian gabel@yamaha-motor.com
Kenneth d'Entremont	Polaris Industries	651-408-7256	kenneth.dentremont@polarisind.com
David Murray	Willkie Farr & Gallagher	202-303-1000	dmurray@willkie.com
Annamarie Daley	Barnes & Thornburg	612-367-8749	adaley@btlaw.com
Marie-Claude Simard	Bombardier Recreational Products (BRP)	450-332-6195	marie-claude.simard@brp.com
Caroline Emond	Bombardier Recreational Products (BRP)	450-532-5078	caroline.emond@brp.com
Ole Tweet	Arctic Cat	218-681-9799x4300	oletweet@arcticcatinc.com
Mark Austrian	Kelley Drye	202-123-4567	maustrian@kelleydrye.com
Roy Deppa	Marchica & Deppa	301-774-3889	roy@marchicadeppa.com
Mike Gidding	Brown & Gidding	202-237-5267	mjg@brown-gidding.com
Mike Gidding	Eckert Seamans	202-659-6603	mwiegard@eckertseamans.com
Doug Wilson	Kawasaki Motors	949-770-0400	doug.wilson@kmc-usa.com
Tyler Furman	Kawasaki Motors	402-476-6600	tfurman@lcn.kmmfg.com
Roger Hagie	Kawasaki Motors	949-770-0400	roger.hagie@kmc-usa.com
Kathy Woods	OPEI	703-549-7600	kwoods@opei.org
Kris Kiser	OPEI	703-549-7600	kkiser@opei.org