

**U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission
LOG OF MEETING**

SUBJECT: Meeting of ASTM Infant Inclined Sleep Products Roll Over task group

DATE OF MEETING: 19 March 2019

LOG ENTRY SOURCE: Celestine T. Kish, ESHF

DATE OF LOG ENTRY: 19 March 2019

LOCATION: Teleconference

CPSC ATTENDEE(S): Celestine T Kish, ESHF; Suad Wanna-Nakamura, HS

NON-CPSC ATTENDEE(S): Joel Taft, Subcommittee Chair/Task Group (TG) Lead; Carol Pollack-Nelson; Don Huber; Kitty Pilarz; Nancy Cowles; Piyush Shah; Tyler Goodier; Ana Carter; Rick Locker; Sean Oberlin; Meredith Birkhead. Please contact Meredith Birkhead at [REDACTED] to obtain full list of other participants.

SUMMARY OF MEETING: Joel Taft conducted the meeting:

- 1. Mr. Taft reminded everyone that during the last subcommittee meeting concerns were raised about some safety message being deleted from the label. He explained that Celestine Kish (CPSC) revised the labels as presented and opened the call for discussion.**
- 2. Ms. Cowles asked about the order of some of the bullet points and some minor changes in order were agreed upon.**

3. There were questions about bolded statements and Ms. Kish explained that as more information was added to the labels, the bolding of the first word of each statement lost the effectiveness of getting the readers attention, therefore, the bolded words were changed to unbold.
4. Mr. Goodier from Health Canada reminded the task group members that Health Canada does not allow any sleep products to have an inclined angle greater there than 7 degrees, so inclined sleep products are not allowed in Canada, but Health Canada is monitoring the work of this subcommittee. He also stated that for future regulations warning labels will specify wording and design to be "shall address" so manufacturers can have flexibility with the wording of their warning labels. He stated he thought these labels were clear.
5. Ms. Kish indicated that for new business at the subcommittee meeting in May CPSC staff would like to discuss adding a definition for "inclined sleep product" since this is the basis on which the other products are identified. Mr. Taft agreed that was needed.
6. Ms. Cowles asked if products don't have a restraint, what happens with these warning labels. Mr. Taft explained that the standard only requires restraint warnings for products that have restraints.
7. Ms. Cowles asked if anything else was being proposed to address the incident data besides warnings. Mr. Taft explained that he has looked at the incident data and did not see anything that clearly jumped out as recurring pattern except adding extra bedding, which is addressed in the warnings.
8. With no other issues/comments, Mr. Taft proposed to send the warning labels out for ballot ASAP, so results can be discussed during the next subcommittee meeting in May; task group agreed. Meeting adjourned at 10:30am.