

**U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission  
LOG OF MEETING**

**SUBJECT: Meeting of ASTM Infant Inclined Sleep Products Subcommittee**

**DATE OF MEETING: 16 October 2018**

**LOG ENTRY SOURCE: Celestine T. Kish, ESHF**

**DATE OF LOG ENTRY: 21 October 2018**

**LOCATION: ASTM Headquarters, 100 Barr Harbor, West Conshohocken, PA**

**CPSC ATTENDEE(S): In person: Celestine T Kish, ESHF; Hope Nesteruk, ESMC; Suad Wanna-Nakamura, HS. On the phone: Keysha Walker, CRE; Zachary Foster, ESHF; Lawrence Mella, ESMC; Howard Tarnoff, EXC; Mark Kumagai, ESMC; Rana Balci-Sinha, ESHF**

**NON-CPSC ATTENDEE(S): Joel Taft, Subcommittee Chair. Please contact Meredith Birkhead at [REDACTED] to obtain full list of other participants.**

**SUMMARY OF MEETING: Mr. Joel Taft conducted the meeting.**

**Ballots:**

**There was one ballot that upheld a non-persuasive negative. There are 3 items on Administrative Hold, during the meeting Mr. Taft stated he would like to have a decision made as to how to proceed with the held items. Specifically, he asked should a new standard be published now or wait for the working items to be**

balloted and approved. The Subcommittee did not resolve this open question.

#### **Task Group Reports:**

The Roll Over task group (TG) recommendations:

- 1. Change "optional" restraints to "required" restraints. The Subcommittee was okay with sending this to ballot but then a member questioned this action since almost all of the products involved in the incident data had restraints but they weren't used. A member also questioned how multi-use products that are bassinets and inclined sleeper would comply because bassinets aren't allowed to have restraints. Another member asked how restraints would be handled for hammocks. The Subcommittee decided this issue needs to go back to the task group to work on exemption wording for multi-use products and hammocks. Subcommittee will not move forward with mandatory restraints for all products at this time, but task group will consider mandatory restraints for some specific types of products.**
- 2. In the previous TG meeting there was discussion related to using the product without the manufacturer's pad (two incidents occurred in this configuration), and whether there should be a warning added to the label about this. The Subcommittee decided not to overload the warning label and that the label already indicates to use the padding provided and restraints.**
- 3. Discussed wording of new roll over label on front of product. Mr. Taft showed two labels, one more concise to address roll over suffocation and to be placed on the front of the product and the other with suffocation and fall messages that can be placed somewhere else on the product but still be conspicuous. There was a lot of discussion on the placement and wording. Mr. Taft stated that this more concise label is similar to the warning on bouncers, which requires the warning to be above the armpits of a CAMI placed in the bouncer. He stated that he would like to have more flexibility on the placement of the label on the front of the product. He would like to recommend restraining the CAMI dummy in the product and requiring that the label be visible while standing in front of the product. The Subcommittee recommended going back to the task group for more discussion.**

#### **New Business:**

One Subcommittee member asked if the task group was looking at other features of the inclined sleep products to address the incident data. Mr. Taft explained that the TG was trying to address the roll over incidents as quickly as possible, which is why the TG focused on the warnings. He explained that other features and factors, such as angle, can be reexamined next.

The meeting adjourned without making a decision about the 3 Administrative Holds. The TG is going to meet to work on the wording and placement of the labels.