

**LOG OF MEETING  
DIRECTORATE FOR ENGINEERING SCIENCES**

**SUBJECT:** Meeting of the ASTM Play Yard Warnings Task Group

**DATE OF MEETING:** July 23, 2018

**PLACE OF MEETING:** Virtual (teleconference)

**LOG ENTRY SOURCE:** Tim Smith (ESHF)

**COMMISSION ATTENDEES:** Tim Smith (ESHF)

**NON-COMMISSION ATTENDEES:** Contact ASTM for attendee list.

**SUMMARY OF MEETING:**

The purpose of the meeting was to continue discussing proposals to revise the Marking and Labeling and Instructional Literature sections of ASTM F406 to be more consistent with the recommendations of the Ad Hoc Language Task Group. The meeting was led by the Chair of the task group, Ron Asbach, and discussed following general topics:

- *Section 9.5, Warnings for Products.* The group discussed task-group member feedback on the updated proposal to revise the product warnings that was sent to the group after the prior meeting. The Chair updated the proposal based on this feedback and on additional discussions during the meeting. Most changes were editorial in nature or involved reordering certain items. The group agreed to change the language of a warning statement (i.e., from “suitable for use in” to “designed for”), and agreed that one “Note” in section 5.16 should instead be a requirement.
- *Section 9.6, Warnings for Accessories.* The group discussed the proposed revisions to section pertaining to warnings for product accessories, and revised as needed. Most changes that were discussed were minor and included such things as consistently using square brackets rather than parentheses to denote text to be filled in or replaced, as needed. There was some discussion about a warning requirement that does not apply if the accessory requires the product floor to be removed; the consensus of the group was that “product floor” should be “mattress.” The Chair intends to look more closely into this suggested change, to confirm that this was the intent of the standard.
- *Section 10, Instructional Literature.* The group discussed the proposed revisions to the Instructional Literature section. There was some discussion about whether a warning statement that says to “read all instructions” should apply only to instructions that are provided as a separate document, as currently required, and not apply to instructions that are printed directly on the product. The consensus of opinion was that this statement should apply to all instructions, and that the requirements should be revised

accordingly. The group also agreed to remove references to “exceptions” and to add notes to define “address” and the meaning of text in square brackets.

The meeting concluded with the Chair stating that he would send to the group a marked up version of the task group proposals, based on the discussions, along with cleaned-up versions of these proposals.