EXHIBIT 4

In the matter of: Zen Magnets, LLC; Star Networks USA, LLC

	Page	1
1	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	
2	CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION	
3		
4	x	
5	: CPSC Docket No. 12-2	
6	In the Matter of : CPSC Docket No. 13-2	
7	:	
8	ZEN MAGNETS, LLC : Hon. Dean C. Metry	
9	STAR NETWORKS USA, LLC :	
10	:	
11	Respondents. :	
12	X	
13		
14	DEPOSITION OF BOYD EDWARDS	
15		
16		
17	Bethesda, Maryland	
18	Tuesday, July 22, 2014	
19		
20		
21	REPORTED BY:	
22	CARMEN SMITH	

Page 141

- received by the CPSC. 91 percent oppose the ban.
- 2 There are 5058 individuals who signed a petition
- 3 protesting the ban and 309 letters. That to me
- 4 represents a large body of objective evidence
- 5 that -- that the public support -- sees utility and
- 6 need for the product.
- 7 And comments extracted from those letters
- 8 and -- statements extracted from those letters and
- 9 comments, which are listed in appendix E, testify
- 10 the perception of the public of the educational
- value of the magnets.
- And so my answer to your question would be
- no, that I think we can quantify through these --
- 14 through these surveys. One was an actual poll
- 15 conducted, and this is on page 15, and we haven't
- discussed yet, page 15 on the right column, "A poll
- 17 conducted on July 10" and "11" "by Public Policy
- 18 Polling supplies further evidence of public
- 19 opposition to the ban. Of the 755 registered voters
- 20 who responded to the poll, 88 percent oppose a sales
- 21 ban to all ages, 6 percent support it, and 6" "were
- 22 undecided."

Page 142

1	So that is scientific evidence of the
2	utility and value of the magnets, the two the two
3	statements that I set out to really base the report
4	on, which are listed on page 2. "Upon information
5	and belief, the Subject Products have low utility to
6	consumers," that's number 105, paragraph 105.
7	Number 106, "Upon information and belief,
8	the Subject Products are not necessary to
9	consumers."
10	I believe that these public statements,
11	this scientific poll that has a margin of error of
12	plus or minus 3-1/2 percent, do present objective
13	evidence.
14	MR. ARAGON: Could you read the question,
15	please?
16	(Record read by the court reporter as
17	follows: "Q: So I just want to confirm.
18	so the observations you've made on other
19	people using magnets is not, since it's
20	anecdotal, it's not really something that
21	can be objectively and scientifically
22	tested; is that right?")

Page 147

- I at all?
- A I read through the report on the poll.
- 3 And I saw a margin of error of 3-1/2 percent, which
- 4 says to me that they're doing their statistics. I
- 5 did not test to make sure the 3-1/2 percent is the
- 6 right margin of error.
- 7 Q Well, why don't -- what is your expertise
- 8 in scientific integrity in public polling?
- 9 A I have studied statistical physics and
- 10 published on percolation theory that we talked about
- before is statistics.
- 12 Q So I'm asking you what -- how does that --
- 13 why should you be considered an expert in public
- 14 polling?
- A I should not be considered an expert in
- 16 public polling.
- Okay. So really the scientific integrity
- that you're talking about from the polling is the
- 19 margin of error that you just happened to read on
- 20 the report --
- 21 A On the report.
- Q -- done by the company that did the