

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION**

In the Matter of	)	
	)	CPSC Docket No: 12-2
ZEN MAGNETS, LLC	)	
	)	HON. DEAN C. METRY
Respondent.	)	
	)	

**ORDER GRANTING RESPONDENT’S MOTION FOR EXTENSION**

On September 26, 2014, Complaint Counsel filed a Motion for Summary Decision *In Camera* (Motion for Summary Decision) for the instant proceeding. On October 7, 2014, Respondent’s Counsel filed an Unopposed Motion to Extend the Time in Which to File a Response to Complaint Counsel’s Motion for Summary Decision *In Camera* (Motion for Extension). See 16 C.F.R. § 1025.25(b).

In the Motion for Extension, Respondent’s Counsel requests until October 27, 2014 to file a response to the Motion for Summary Decision. Counsel explains the Commission does not oppose this extension and further notes Respondent does not oppose the Commission’s anticipated motion to file a Reply to the response.


Upon review of the filings, the undersigned finds Respondent has demonstrated good cause for the extension. 16 C.F.R. § 1025.15(c). Of note, however, the regulations do not provide for replies to responses. 16 C.F.R. § 1025.23(c). As such, absent good cause shown, the undersigned will not permit any filings beyond the response.

**WHEREFORE,**

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT** Respondent's Motion for Extension is  
**GRANTED.** Respondent may file a response to the Motion for Summary Decision not  
later than **Monday, October 27, 2014.**

**SO ORDERED.**

Done and dated this 8th day of October 2014, at  
Galveston, TX



---

**DEAN C. METRY**  
**Administrative Law Judge**